## Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

March 26, 2018

President Donald J. Trump The White House 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Mr. President,

We write to you with deep concern regarding your administration's Nuclear Posture Review. If implemented, these policies will likely increase tensions with Russia and other major world powers, while making our country less secure. Calling for the addition of new weapons and weapons capabilities to our arsenal and expanding the role of nuclear weapons in U.S. national security strategy imposes significant economic burdens and undermines decades of United States leadership to prevent the use and spread of nuclear weapons. We oppose this approach and will continue to support maintaining an effective nuclear deterrent without wasting taxpayer dollars, inciting a new arms race, or risking nuclear conflict.

The Nuclear Posture Review further expands the arsenal far beyond what is required to maintain a credible deterrent. Specifically, the review proposes new low-yield warheads on Submarine-Launched Ballistic Missiles (SLBMs), reducing the threshold for the use of nuclear weapons without offering convincing arguments as to why this would enhance deterrence. Indeed, the fact that a country may lack the ability to distinguish between high- and low-yield SLBMs makes this new capability more likely to lead to miscalculation and nuclear conflict. The review also declares that the United States will maintain the B83 – the last megaton warhead in our arsenal – until a "suitable replacement is identified," though the warhead had previously been scheduled for retirement. Additionally, the review proposes a new Submarine-Launched Cruise Missile (SLCM) to replace a system that was taken out of service by President George H.W. Bush. Taken together, this pursuit of additional weapons that have already been deemed unnecessary for deterrence is unjustified.

Moreover, the Nuclear Posture Review raises several questions about how we can sustain and upgrade our nuclear arsenal under the current budgetary projections. As you know, the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimates that the cost of maintaining and modernizing our existing arsenal will be at least \$1.7 trillion, with inflation, during the next 30 years. The new weapons proposed in the review will likely further increase these dramatic costs, more than doubling the percentage of the military budget that is spent on nuclear weapons in the coming decades. This is an ineffective use of taxpayer dollars. The Nuclear Posture Review fails to explain how the United States will pay for these increases in spending without endangering aspects of our conventional military by diverting resources away from other critical national security priorities.



We are also troubled by the policy contained in your Nuclear Posture Review that appears to expand the number of scenarios under which the United States may consider the use of nuclear weapons. Suggesting that the United States may use nuclear weapons first in response to a major conventional or cyberattack sets a dangerous precedent. This policy would increase the risk of miscalculation in a crisis that could lead to nuclear war.

Finally, your administration's review rejects decades-long efforts to strengthen the international nuclear nonproliferation regime and undermines global stability. The Nuclear Posture Review makes no mention of Article VI of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, which obligates the United States, as one of the signatories, to move in the direction of nuclear disarmament. In that context, on February 5, 2018, the New START arms control agreement between the United States and Russia entered into effect. However, the Nuclear Posture Review fails to take a position on extending the treaty. The Nuclear Posture Review also states that the United States will not seek ratification of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT), despite having signed the CTBT along with 182 other states. This failure to recognize effective nonproliferation efforts weakens the international consensus, damages United States' credibility and puts us at risk of starting a new arms race.

The approach taken in this Nuclear Posture Review is dangerous. We are deeply concerned that the proposals laid out in the review support nuclear proliferation for purposes other than deterrence, misuse our country's resources, and may increase the risk of nuclear conflict. We will work to maintain the security and safety of the United States and its allies, while exerting congressional authority to ensure sensible spending on our country's nuclear weapons programs.

Sincerely,

Earl Blumenauer Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Member of Congre

Adam Smith

Member of Congress

Salud O. Carbajal

Member of Congress

John Yarmuth

Member of Congress

Mike Thompson

Member of Congress

Ted W. Lieu Member of Congress Anna G. Eshoo Member of Congress

> Colleen Hanabusa Member of Congress

> ames P. McGovern Member of Congress

> Ro Khanna Member of Congress

Bill Foster Bill Foster

Member of Congress

Jared Huffman Member of Congress

Tulsi Gabbard Member of Congress Member of Congress

Pramila Jayapal Member of Congress

Jamie Raskin Member of Congress

Suzanne Bonamici

Member of Congress

Donald S. Beyer Jr. Member of Congress

Zoe Lofgren Member of Congress

Mark Pocan

Member of Congress

Ron Kind Member of Congress

Mark Takano Member of Congress Member of Congress Bonnie Watson Coleman Grace Napolitano Member of Congress Member of Congress Jerrold Nadler Peter A. DeFazio Member of Congress Member of Congress Danny Danny K. Davis Member of Congress Eleanor Holmes Norton Member of Congress

Sheila Jackson Lee Member of Congress

> Gwen Moore Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Bobby L. Rush Member of Congress

Betty McCollum Member of Congress

Peter Welch Member of Congress Tim Walz

Tim Walz Member of Congress

Steve Cohen Member of Congress

John Garamendi Member of Congress Jan Schakowsky Member of Congress

Judy Chu Member of Congress

Dina Titus Member of Congress

CC: The Honorable James N. Mattis Secretary U.S. Department of Defense 1000 Defense Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20301

> The Honorable John Sullivan Deputy Secretary U.S. Department of State 2201 C St., NW Washington, D.C. 20250

The Honorable Rick Perry Secretary U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, D.C. 20585